PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	Y	DOT			
To: G.E. EHRICH (1995)LTD. 11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET		PCT			
52521 RAMAT GAN ISRAEL		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 3 MAY 2009			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	FOR FURTHER ACTION			
45192		See paragraph 2 below			
	emational filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/IL 08/01492 13	November 2008 (13.11.2008)	15 November 2007 (15.11.2007)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both IPC(8) - C12M 3/00 (2009.01) USPC - 435/305.2					
Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTC).				
This opinion contains indications relating	to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inv	rention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement citations and explan					
Box No. VI Certain documents	cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	ne international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observation	s on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION		to the term to be seen			
International Preliminary Examining Auth other than this one to be the IPEA and the opinions of this International Searching A	nority ("IPEA") except that this does not ap e chosen IPEA has notified the Internation authority will not be so considered.	be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority al Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written			
a written reply together, where appropriate	idered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, e, with amendments, before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whicheve	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form r expires later.			
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/22	20.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT	7/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Dal	te of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer:			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	•	Lee W. Young			
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	0 April 2009 (30.04.2009)	PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed.
		a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.		egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been shed on the basis of:
	a. tyj	e of material
	<u> </u>	a sequence listing
	L.	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material
		on paper
		in electronic form
		o of Glica/Granishina
	c. tin	e of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed
		filed together with the international application in electronic form
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Additi	onal comments:
		V
		•
		·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Box No. IV Lack of unit	y of invention
1. In response to the	invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
paid additio	nal fees
paid addition	onal fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
paid additio	onal fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
not paid add	litional fees
2. This Authority fou pay additional fees	nd that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to
3. This Authority considers	that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with	
This application contains the fo	for the following reasons: ollowing inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.
the holder may be translucent. Group II: claims 12 and 13, dir	nd 48-51, directed to a holding device for cells comprising an array of spaced picoliter wells, further wherein ected to a method of forming a template for a picoliter well array. -47, directed to a method of forming a cell holding device having an array of picoliter wells.
The Inventions listed as Group	os I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule rresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
technical feature of the Group	of the Group I claims is a holding device for cells comprising an array of spaced picoliter wells. The special II claims is a method of forming a template for a picoliter well array. These special technical feature of the forming a cell holding device having an array of picoliter wells.
common technical element do	ement shared by the above groups is that they are related to an array of wells having picoliter volume. This es not represent an improvement over the prior art of US 2004/0219074 A1 to Childers et al. (see para inventions of Groups I-III lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13 because they do not share a same or al feature.
	5-1
4. Consequently, this opin	ion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts	
the parts relating	to claims Nos. 1-11, 25-36 and 48-51

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Box No. V R	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>his.</i> I (a) (i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
ci	itations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6, 11, 34-36 7-10, 25-33, 48-51	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	NONE 1-11, 25-36, 48-51	YES NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11, 25-36, 48-51	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO NO

Citations and explanations:

Claims 7-10 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 2005/0277125 A1 to Benn, et al. (hereinafter "Benn").

As to claim 7, Benn discloses a holding device (para [0076]-[0077]) for studying cetts (para [0113]) comprising at least two defined regions (para [0142]; Fig 31):

(a) a pico liter well array region including a plurality of pico liter wells (para [0280]; Fig 6); and

(b) a non-cell holding region (para [0068]; Fig 6, part 64) in fluid communication (para [0159]; Fig 31) with said pico (ter weil region (para [0280]), wherein fluid can be one or both added and removed from said non cell holding region without disturbing cells in said picowells (para [0081]-[0082]).

As to claim 8, Benn further discloses at least one fluid permeable (para [0099], [0110], disclosing porous reaction surfaces) barrier (para [0159], disclosing a blind hole; Fig 31, part 98) between said regions (Fig 31, part 98).

As to claim 9, Benn further discloses where the non-cell holding array has an embossed design (para [0170]).

As to claim 10, Benn further discloses where the pico liter well array is embossed (para [0170]).

Claims 25-33 and 48-51 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 2005/007796 A2 to Deutsch, et al. (hereinafter "Deutsch").

As to claim 25, Deutsch discloses a holding device (pg 6, in 27-28, disclosing a holder) for studying cells (pg 1, in 4-5) comprising:

— at least one cavity (pg 50, in 14-19, disclosing picowells) adapted to receive a sample of cells (pg 49, in 20-30) in a medium consisting essentially of water (pg 49, in 3-19, disclosing 99% water solutions),

- the cavity having a substrate (pg 11, In 25-31) and a generally inert wall (pg 10, In 18-26, disclosing a wall made of ceramic, metals, plastics, or rubber),

- -- wherein the substrate includes a surface for receiving the medium (pg 12, in 1-15), and
- -- wherein the surface includes a multiplicity of pico liter wells (pg 12, in 1-15; Fig 10A-10C) and is characterized in that
- -- the substrate is substantially translucent (pg 17, In 19-26) and
- -- has a refractive index equal to the refractive index of the medium (pg 12, in 1-15).

As to claim 26, Deutsch further discloses where the medium comprises water (pg 49, ln 3-19, disclosing 99% water solutions) and wherein the substrate has a Refractive Index of 1.33 (pg 12, In 10-15).

As to claim 27, Deutsch further discloses where the substrate is moldable (pg 33, In 16-20, disclosing a device made through molding).

As to claim 28, Deutsch further discloses where the substrate is inert (pg 45, ln 4-14).

As to claim 29, Deutsch further discloses where the holding device is a carrier plate (pg 6, ln 24 to pg 7, ln 3) and wherein a first adhesive is disposed between the carrier plate and the substrate (pg 43, ln 5-11; Fig 15A-15C).

As to claim 30, Deutsch further discloses a second adhesive disposed between the generally inert wall and the substrate (pg 42, ln 20-28; Fig 14A-14C).

As to claim 31, Deutsch further discloses where at least one of the substrate, the first adhesive and the second adhesive are UV-light curable (pg 42, in 20-28, disclosing light-curable adhesive 3051).

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
s to claim 32, Deutsch further discloses where the first adhesive and the second adhesive are acrylic (pg 42, In 20-28, disclosing light- urable adhesive 3051, an acrylic adhesive).

orm PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: BOX V(2):

As to claim 33, Deutsch further discloses a light source transmitting the UV-light through a bottom surface of the at least one cavity (pg 42, In 20-28; Fig 14B).

As to claim 48, Deutsch discloses a holding device (pg 6, in 27-28, disclosing a holder) for studying cells (pg 1, in 4-5) comprising:

- a layer (pg 12, in 1-15) of substantially transparent substrate material (pg 17, in 19-26);
- having a multiplicity of pico liter wells (pg 12, in 1-15; Fig 10A-10C);
- -- having a refractive index of 1.33 (pg 12, In 10-15); and,
- -- a wall structure attached to the substrate (Fig 15A-15C).

As to claim 49, Deutsch further discloses where the substrate is UV-light curable (pg 13, ln 8-20; pg 39, ln 12-18).

As to claim 50, Deutsch further discloses a first adhesive disposed between the wall structure and the substrate (pg 43, In 5-11; Fig 15A-15C).

As to claim 51, Deutsch further discloses

- -- a substantially transparent carrier plate (pg 6, ln 23-27; pg 17, ln 19-26; Fig 13-16);
- -- having a plurality of cavities (pg 50, In 14-19, disclosing picowells) surrounded by walls formed in a first surface of the carrier plate (Fig 13-16),
- wherein the layer of substantially transparent substrate material is disposed on the carrier plate (pg 6, In 23-27; pg 17, In 19-26; Fig 13-

Claims 1-6 and 11 leck an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Benn in view of US 2005/0026299 A1 to Bhattacharjee, et al. (hereinafter "Bhattacharjee").

As to claim 1, Benn discloses a holding device (para [0076]-[0077]) for studying cells (para [0113]) comprising a spaced apart (para [0279]) pico liter wells (para [0280]). Benn does not specifically disclose a plurality of arrays. Bhattacharjee discloses a holding device for studying cells (Abstract; para [0066]) comprising a pluratity of arrays (para [0007]; Fig 4, 5, 11B). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Benn and Bhattacharjee disclosures by using a plurality of the arrays taught by Benn on a holder. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the references by the Bhattacharjee disclosure, suggesting such a configuration will provide benefits in fluid handling (para [0008]).

As to claim 2, Benn further discloses where the pico liter well arrays comprise embossed regions (para [0170]).

As to claim 3, Benn further discloses pico liter well arrays (para [0280]). Bhattacharjee further discloses at least one barrier (para [0049], disclosing scores; Fig 11B) between two arrays (Fig 11B).

As to claim 4, Benn further discloses where the arrays are arranged in a two dimensional repeating pattern (para [0295]; Fig 19).

As to claim 5, Bhattacharjee further discloses where the arrays include at least two different well array designs (para [0011]; Fig 1, 2).

As to claim 6, Benn further discloses where the device includes at least one non-well embossed region (para [0158], disclosing a transfer plate) fluidically connected to at least one of said arrays (para [0159]).

As to claim 11, Benn further discloses pico liter well arrays (para [0280]). Benn does not specifically disclose a plurality of well array regions. Bhattacharjee discloses a holding device for studying cells (Abstract, para [0066]) comprising a plurality of well array regions (para [0007]; Fig 4, 5, 11B). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Benn and Bhattacharjee disclosures by using a plurality of the array regions taught by Benn on a holder. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the references by the Bhattacharjee disclosure, suggesting such a configuration will provide benefits in fluid handling (para [0008]).

Claims 34 and 35 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Deutsch in view of US 4,684,538 A (Klemarczyk).

As to claim 34, Deutsch does not specifically disclose where the substrate is exposed to UV-light under vacuum pressure. Klemarczyk discloses an adhesive that is attached to a substrate (col 1, In 50-62), where the adhesive is cured by exposing it to the UV-light (col 13, In 62 to col 14, in 4) under vacuum pressure (col 14, in 7-25). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Deutsch and Klemarczyk disclosure by curing the adhesive taught by Deutsch under UV light and vacuum pressure. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the references by the Deutsch disclosure, suggesting the use of a light-curable adhesive (pg 42, ln 20-28).

As to claim 35, neither Deutsch nor Klemarczyk specifically discloses where the vacuum pressure is in the range of 0.3-0.5 mmHg. However, such a range would have been obvious to a skilled artisan practicing the Deutsch and Klemarczyk disclosures through normal

experimentation. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to use such a range in order to cure certain adhesives with different properties than those disclosed by Klemarczyk.	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No. PCT/IL 08/01492

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX V(2) and the preceeding Supplemental Sheet: Claim 36 lacks an Inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Deutsch in view of US 3,558,387 A to Bassemir, et al (hereinafter "Bassemir"). As to claim 36, Deutsch does not specifically disclose where the substrate is exposed to the UV-light under inert gas. Bassemir discloses a curing adhesive (col 4, In 58-69) where an adhesive is exposed to the UV-light (col 2, in 52-58) under inert gas (col 3, In 65-68). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Deutsch and Bassemir disclosures by using method disclosed by Bassemir with the light-curing adhesive taught by Deutsch. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to use such a method by the Bassemir disclosure, suggesting that curing the adhesive in an inert atmosphere reduces curing time (col 4, In 32-34). Claims 1-11, 25-36, and 48-51 have industrial applicability as defined by PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter can be made or used in industry.